Grammatical functions and Pragmatic considerations in clause combining

Mark Donohue The Australian National University 7 October 2012, TUFS, Tokyo

Overview & Premise

- Clause combining is both syntactically-governed and pragmatically affected;
- Combinations of clauses frequently permit (or require) one clause to be dependent on the other for some reference;
- Languages frequently ignore their own 'rules' for coreference and let pragmatics overrule

Syntactically-governed constraints on reference:

- (1) I watched Beth and then __ drank my tea.
- (2) While ___ drinking tea, I watched Beth.
- (3) Beth was watched and then __ drank my tea.
- (4) While ___ drinking tea, Beth was watched.
- (5) I tickled the boy and then ___ laughed.
- (6) The boy hit me and then ___ felt remorse.
- (7) I dropped the vase and then __ broke.

Syntactically-governed?

(8) The bus_i hit the woman_j [while ___j crossing the road]. (Compare: While __i crossing the road, the bus_i hit the woman_j.)

Indonesian:

'baku':

(9) Anjing itu meng-gigit kucing. dog that ACT-bite cat "The dog bit the cat."

- (10) anjing [yang _ meng-gigit kucing] itu.
 dog REL ACT-bite cat that
 "The dog that bit the cat."
- (11) kucing [yang _ di-gigit anjing] itu.
 dog REL NONACT-bite dog that
 'The cat that was bitten by the dog.'

not 'baku', but not uncommon:

(12) kucing [yang anjing meng-gigit _]
dog REL dog ACT-bite
'the cat that the dog bit'

Skou

- (13) Tangí ke=moe toe,
 Tangí 3SG.NF=return 3.come =PROX =OBC =3SG.F.go
 táng=ing te=bíng fátà.
 bird=DEIC 3PL=die.PL all
 'Tangí came back home, and all of the birds were dead, ...'
- (14) Ka-ung_a=wi_a nì=moe kóeho loe,
 just-now=this 1SG=return border come
 ing_a
 the
 pa nì=hí-hí li.
 water 1SG=wash-RED do
 'I've just now come back from the border, that's why I want to wash.'
- (15) Ke=barí ke=ká ke heng 3SG.NF=headman 3SG.NF accusation 3SG.NF=hit be do w-a=ko te 3SG.F-come=OBV 3SG.F.go Te Óeti. ke=k-e 3SG.NF=3SG.NF-ascend 3SG.NF.go Wutung 'Because the headman had accused him, he went to Wutung.'
- (16) Nì=re pá=fue_a pále=ing_a nì=fu-fu li.
 1SG=go house=the pig=the 1SG=see.F-RED do
 'I went to that house to look at the pig.'
- (17) Nì=re pá=fue_a 1SG=go house=the 'I went to that house.'

(18) Pále=ing_a nì=fu-fu li. pig=the 1SG=see.f-RED do 'I want to look at the pig.'

Mandarin

(19) Ta qu shangdian,

3SG go shop

__ mai-le pingguo, buy-PERF apple

_ hui jia, return home

_ chi-le _. eat-PERF

'She went to the shops, bought (some) apples, returned home and ate them.'

Tukang Besi

(20) No-mai na ana maka no-kede. 3R-come NOM child and.then 3R-sit

'The child came and then sat down.'

(21) Sa-mai-no na ana no-kede. when-come-3GEN NOM child 3R-sit 'When the child came, s/he sat down.'

(22) Sa-mai-no no-kede na ana. when-come-3GEN 3R-sit NOM child 'When the child came, s/he sat down.'

(23) * Sa-mai-no na ana no-kede na wowine. when-come-3GEN NOM child 3R-sit NOM woman 'When the child came, the woman sat down.'

(24) Sa-mai-no na ana ku-kede di kadera. when-come-3GEN NOM child 3R-sit OBL.PAST chair 'When the child came, I sat down.'

Summary

- Syntactic constraints on anaphora are widespread, and well-documented, and well-described;
- Pragmatic considerations are often let in through a morphological back door, but sometimes are simply there in the language;
- Pragmatic considerations *can* overrule syntactic constraints; but it's not a given.